

# Turning insight into action.



# **Extending Fleet Performance Optimization Strategies**

Presented by David Brill, AVP Asset Management

**Black & Veatch** 

# Agenda

- Black & Veatch and PowerPlantMD<sup>®</sup>
- Typical Struggles with Comparative Analytics
- PowerPlantMD<sup>®</sup> Comparative Analytic Services
- PI System Architecture
- Future Plans and Next Steps

## **About Black & Veatch**



- Global engineering, consulting and construction company
- Energy, water, information and government markets
- Founded in 1915, employee-owned, 90 offices worldwide



- Proactive Solutions to Improved Plant Performance
- Leading Third-Party Monitoring & Diagnostic Center
- Integration platform for strategy, analysis
  & business intelligence

# **Business Challenge/Problem Addressed**

- Value of performance rising due to environmental challenges coupled with regional energy markets becoming more interconnected and accommodating more non-traditional generation assets
- Power generators will need *a more convenient and transparent means* to compare the performance of their assets against other assets within their fleet, against similar units in other fleets, as well as relative performance to the overall market.
- *New form of math needed* to wrestle with the myriad of plant design configurations, non-standard plant data & instrumentation, and non-standard performance calculation protocols.

# **Existing Information Landscape**

- Most performance calculations are focused at the unit, encouraging depth & customization to address unit-specific peculiarities.
- Non-standard performance metrics at the local asset level hinder scalability and information flow to the corporate level.
  - Widely varying quantity and quality of plant instrumentation
  - Huge volume of data content and calculated performance metrics, but with varying quality
  - Plant level analytics not well-suited for generation of centralized metrics/performance views
- Implementation and maintenance of custom calculations across a fleet is resource intensive and can suffer from plant resource constraints.
  - Local use maintained locally, centralized data feed maintained centrally
- Centralized asset comparisons are difficult and, many times nonsensical, due to above factors.

## PowerPlantMD<sup>®</sup>



Proactive Issue Identification, Diagnosis, Resolution

- Real Time Anomaly Detection Process
- Long-Term Trend Analysis
- Annual Outage Analysis
- On Demand Problem Support
- Issue Management Web Portal and Knowledge Base



An information integration & analytic platform:

- Proactive
- Collaborative
- Scalable

#### to drive bottom-line results!





## Enabling Structure through PI Asset Framework (PI AF)

#### Hierarchal Structure

Logical organization

#### Template Library

Scalability & rapid deployment

#### Data References

- Flexible data connectivity

#### • Element Relative Displays

Rapid user interface deployment



#### PI System Architecture – Hierarchical Performance Views

- PI AF exposes the breadth and depth of the results across and within the fleet.
- Hierarchal Views:
  - Speed deployment
  - Enrich the user experience
  - Provide additional value beyond traditional unitcentric displays



# The Challenge of Comparative Analytics

- Analytics implemented at plant not well-suited for generation of centralized metrics/performance
  - Non-standard or "missing" performance metrics at the local asset level hinder scalability and information flow to the corporate level
  - Widely varying quantity and quality of plant instrumentation
  - Huge volume of data content and calculated performance metrics, but with varying quality
- Scale is critical to enable peer-unit analysis
  - Our focus is to enable large scale, rigorous, and efficient structure for calculations
  - Pedigree data needed to identify critical asset attributes: technology, fuel, load, operational
  - Anonymous analytics across assets enables comparison and performance benchmarking
- Robust and secure data infrastructure needed to manage data collection, analysis, and presentation of results
  - Efficiency
  - Environmental
  - Other performance metrics, based on class of asset

#### Solution – PowerPlantMD<sup>®</sup> Comparative Analytic Services

- Black & Veatch, in collaboration with OSIsoft, is offering an extension of our PowerPlantMD<sup>®</sup> platform to provide inter-customer anonymous comparative performance analytics
- Our goal is to further leverage the existing individual customer data available in client PI System archives by developing a set of standardized and normalized performance models, to facilitate a community
- Participants reap the unprecedented value proposition associated with having real time access to a granular peer-group quantification of asset key performance indicators

## **PI System Architecture for Comparative Analytics**



- A secure, multi-client, cloud environment to facilitate a community
- Normalized calculation results sent via PI to PI Interface back to the plant / corporate archives for inclusion in standard PI ProcessBook displays
  - Community web interfaces strategic options analysis based on the insight of not only the customer's own results, but also a data rich peer analysis
    - Performance tracking
    - Target setting
    - Variance analysis

# **Comparison of Actual Heat Rates**



Is my unit heat rate really about average compared to the population?

Why does my unit heat rate vary so much?

- Uncertainty exists around the validity of the comparison
- Mixed calculation methods cloud the results
- This is not an apples-to-apples comparison

#### **Heat Rate Normalization Process**



- Standard unit hierarchy
- Highly standardized performance calculations
- Normalize to account for external factors
- Minimize differences between units

## **Actual and Normalized Heat Rate**



- Actual heat rate:
  - Subject to external forces
  - Highly variable
  - Variation hard to explain
- Normalized heat rate:
  - Reduces variability from external factors
  - Reduce data scatter
  - Supports variance analysis

## **Normalized Comparison**



- Peer group identified
- Heat Rate data
  normalized
- Unit performance is better than peer group average.

# **Benefits**

- Increased transparency allows:
  - Early identification of drift in performance and ability to factor "role" of independent/external variables (fuel, load, etc.) in/out of analysis
  - Means to understand collective response and associated impacts on performance KPI's due to increased renewable penetration, and shifting economics of coal assets vs. gas
- Further enhances proven ROI from proactive monitoring processes & tools
- Benchmarking has a proven track record in improving availability by helping identify best performers, performance gaps (both managed and intrinsic to technology/location, etc.)
  - NERC GADs, and other international data systems
  - Other specialized benchmarking processes
- Helps sponsor and validates environmental prudency

# **Intangible Benefits**

- Efficiency improvements yield positive impacts on overall cost and resource requirements
- Helps position existing units to better mitigate both CO<sub>2</sub> and cost risks via a pro-active approach to monitoring and analyzing performance.

# **Future Plans and Next Steps**

- Implement program under collaboration with OSISoft and with early adopters
- Refine/enhance calculations and comparative analytics
- Expand participant pool across technologies and geographies
- Sponsor "near" real-time performance comparisons across both centralized and decentralized power assets.

# Questions

- David Brill <u>brilldj@bv.com</u> 913-458-2232
- Scott Stallard <u>stallardgs@bv.com</u> 913-458-9764







# Thank you

© Copyright 2011 OSIsoft, LLC.

# Turning insight into action.